

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/802,610	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VANN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Amy T. Lang	<b>Art Unit</b> 1714	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Amy T. Lang.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Gary Katz.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 09/17/06

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 1*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Interview was initiated to discuss an examiner's amendment to change the "at least 20 wt%" limitation in claim 1 to "at least 20 to 80 wt%."*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Vasu Jagannathan*  
**VASU JAGANNATHAN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)